

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
NORTHEASTERN DIVISION

FILED

04 MAR 12 AM 9:19

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
N.D. OF ALABAMA

DIRECTV, INC.,

)

)

Plaintiff,

)

)

vs.

)

Civil Action No. CV-03-S-1642-NW

CHARLES W. HOLLAND

)

)

Defendant.

)

### MEMORANDUM OPINION

This action is before the court on defendant's motion to dismiss (doc. no. 7).

Plaintiff alleges that defendant engaged in the unauthorized reception of satellite signals in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 605(a), unauthorized interception of electronic communications in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2511(1), possession of pirate access devices in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2512(1)(b), and conversion. Defendant moves to dismiss the entire complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6).

#### I. STANDARD OF REVIEW

To prevail on a motion to dismiss, defendant must show that "no relief could be granted under any set of facts that could be proved consistent with the allegations."

*Hishon v. King & Spalding*, 467 U.S. 69, 73, 104 S. Ct. 2229, 2232, 81 L. Ed. 2d 59 (1984). When ruling upon a Rule 12(b)(6) motion, the court must accept all well-pleaded facts as true, and construe them in the light most favorable to the non-moving

19  
1003  
1004  
1005  
1006  
1007  
1008  
1009  
1010  
1011  
1012  
1013  
1014  
1015  
1016  
1017  
1018  
1019  
1020  
1021  
1022  
1023  
1024  
1025  
1026  
1027  
1028  
1029  
1030  
1031  
1032  
1033  
1034  
1035  
1036  
1037  
1038  
1039  
1040  
1041  
1042  
1043  
1044  
1045  
1046  
1047  
1048  
1049  
1050  
1051  
1052  
1053  
1054  
1055  
1056  
1057  
1058  
1059  
1060  
1061  
1062  
1063  
1064  
1065  
1066  
1067  
1068  
1069  
1070  
1071  
1072  
1073  
1074  
1075  
1076  
1077  
1078  
1079  
1080  
1081  
1082  
1083  
1084  
1085  
1086  
1087  
1088  
1089  
1090  
1091  
1092  
1093  
1094  
1095  
1096  
1097  
1098  
1099  
1100  
1101  
1102  
1103  
1104  
1105  
1106  
1107  
1108  
1109  
1110  
1111  
1112  
1113  
1114  
1115  
1116  
1117  
1118  
1119  
1120  
1121  
1122  
1123  
1124  
1125  
1126  
1127  
1128  
1129  
1130  
1131  
1132  
1133  
1134  
1135  
1136  
1137  
1138  
1139  
1140  
1141  
1142  
1143  
1144  
1145  
1146  
1147  
1148  
1149  
1150  
1151  
1152  
1153  
1154  
1155  
1156  
1157  
1158  
1159  
1160  
1161  
1162  
1163  
1164  
1165  
1166  
1167  
1168  
1169  
1170  
1171  
1172  
1173  
1174  
1175  
1176  
1177  
1178  
1179  
1180  
1181  
1182  
1183  
1184  
1185  
1186  
1187  
1188  
1189  
1190  
1191  
1192  
1193  
1194  
1195  
1196  
1197  
1198  
1199  
1200  
1201  
1202  
1203  
1204  
1205  
1206  
1207  
1208  
1209  
1210  
1211  
1212  
1213  
1214  
1215  
1216  
1217  
1218  
1219  
1220  
1221  
1222  
1223  
1224  
1225  
1226  
1227  
1228  
1229  
1230  
1231  
1232  
1233  
1234  
1235  
1236  
1237  
1238  
1239  
1240  
1241  
1242  
1243  
1244  
1245  
1246  
1247  
1248  
1249  
1250  
1251  
1252  
1253  
1254  
1255  
1256  
1257  
1258  
1259  
1260  
1261  
1262  
1263  
1264  
1265  
1266  
1267  
1268  
1269  
1270  
1271  
1272  
1273  
1274  
1275  
1276  
1277  
1278  
1279  
1280  
1281  
1282  
1283  
1284  
1285  
1286  
1287  
1288  
1289  
1290  
1291  
1292  
1293  
1294  
1295  
1296  
1297  
1298  
1299  
1300  
1301  
1302  
1303  
1304  
1305  
1306  
1307  
1308  
1309  
1310  
1311  
1312  
1313  
1314  
1315  
1316  
1317  
1318  
1319  
1320  
1321  
1322  
1323  
1324  
1325  
1326  
1327  
1328  
1329  
1330  
1331  
1332  
1333  
1334  
1335  
1336  
1337  
1338  
1339  
1340  
1341  
1342  
1343  
1344  
1345  
1346  
1347  
1348  
1349  
1350  
1351  
1352  
1353  
1354  
1355  
1356  
1357  
1358  
1359  
1360  
1361  
1362  
1363  
1364  
1365  
1366  
1367  
1368  
1369  
1370  
1371  
1372  
1373  
1374  
1375  
1376  
1377  
1378  
1379  
1380  
1381  
1382  
1383  
1384  
1385  
1386  
1387  
1388  
1389  
1390  
1391  
1392  
1393  
1394  
1395  
1396  
1397  
1398  
1399  
1400  
1401  
1402  
1403  
1404  
1405  
1406  
1407  
1408  
1409  
1410  
1411  
1412  
1413  
1414  
1415  
1416  
1417  
1418  
1419  
1420  
1421  
1422  
1423  
1424  
1425  
1426  
1427  
1428  
1429  
1430  
1431  
1432  
1433  
1434  
1435  
1436  
1437  
1438  
1439  
1440  
1441  
1442  
1443  
1444  
1445  
1446  
1447  
1448  
1449  
1450  
1451  
1452  
1453  
1454  
1455  
1456  
1457  
1458  
1459  
1460  
1461  
1462  
1463  
1464  
1465  
1466  
1467  
1468  
1469  
1470  
1471  
1472  
1473  
1474  
1475  
1476  
1477  
1478  
1479  
1480  
1481  
1482  
1483  
1484  
1485  
1486  
1487  
1488  
1489  
1490  
1491  
1492  
1493  
1494  
1495  
1496  
1497  
1498  
1499  
1500  
1501  
1502  
1503  
1504  
1505  
1506  
1507  
1508  
1509  
1510  
1511  
1512  
1513  
1514  
1515  
1516  
1517  
1518  
1519  
1520  
1521  
1522  
1523  
1524  
1525  
1526  
1527  
1528  
1529  
1530  
1531  
1532  
1533  
1534  
1535  
1536  
1537  
1538  
1539  
1540  
1541  
1542  
1543  
1544  
1545  
1546  
1547  
1548  
1549  
1550  
1551  
1552  
1553  
1554  
1555  
1556  
1557  
1558  
1559  
1560  
1561  
1562  
1563  
1564  
1565  
1566  
1567  
1568  
1569  
1570  
1571  
1572  
1573  
1574  
1575  
1576  
1577  
1578  
1579  
1580  
1581  
1582  
1583  
1584  
1585  
1586  
1587  
1588  
1589  
1590  
1591  
1592  
1593  
1594  
1595  
1596  
1597  
1598  
1599  
1600  
1601  
1602  
1603  
1604  
1605  
1606  
1607  
1608  
1609  
1610  
1611  
1612  
1613  
1614  
1615  
1616  
1617  
1618  
1619  
1620  
1621  
1622  
1623  
1624  
1625  
1626  
1627  
1628  
1629  
1630  
1631  
1632  
1633  
1634  
1635  
1636  
1637  
1638  
1639  
1640  
1641  
1642  
1643  
1644  
1645  
1646  
1647  
1648  
1649  
1650  
1651  
1652  
1653  
1654  
1655  
1656  
1657  
1658  
1659  
1660  
1661  
1662  
1663  
1664  
1665  
1666  
1667  
1668  
1669  
1670  
1671  
1672  
1673  
1674  
1675  
1676  
1677  
1678  
1679  
1680  
1681  
1682  
1683  
1684  
1685  
1686  
1687  
1688  
1689  
1690  
1691  
1692  
1693  
1694  
1695  
1696  
1697  
1698  
1699  
1700  
1701  
1702  
1703  
1704  
1705  
1706  
1707  
1708  
1709  
1710  
1711  
1712  
1713  
1714  
1715  
1716  
1717  
1718  
1719  
1720  
1721  
1722  
1723  
1724  
1725  
1726  
1727  
1728  
1729  
1720  
1721  
1722  
1723  
1724  
1725  
1726  
1727  
1728  
1729  
1730  
1731  
1732  
1733  
1734  
1735  
1736  
1737  
1738  
1739  
1730  
1731  
1732  
1733  
1734  
1735  
1736  
1737  
1738  
1739  
1740  
1741  
1742  
1743  
1744  
1745  
1746  
1747  
1748  
1749  
1740  
1741  
1742  
1743  
1744  
1745  
1746  
1747  
1748  
1749  
1750  
1751  
1752  
1753  
1754  
1755  
1756  
1757  
1758  
1759  
1750  
1751  
1752  
1753  
1754  
1755  
1756  
1757  
1758  
1759  
1760  
1761  
1762  
1763  
1764  
1765  
1766  
1767  
1768  
1769  
1760  
1761  
1762  
1763  
1764  
1765  
1766  
1767  
1768  
1769  
1770  
1771  
1772  
1773  
1774  
1775  
1776  
1777  
1778  
1779  
1770  
1771  
1772  
1773  
1774  
1775  
1776  
1777  
1778  
1779  
1780  
1781  
1782  
1783  
1784  
1785  
1786  
1787  
1788  
1789  
1780  
1781  
1782  
1783  
1784  
1785  
1786  
1787  
1788  
1789  
1790  
1791  
1792  
1793  
1794  
1795  
1796  
1797  
1798  
1799  
1790  
1791  
1792  
1793  
1794  
1795  
1796  
1797  
1798  
1799  
1800  
1801  
1802  
1803  
1804  
1805  
1806  
1807  
1808  
1809  
1800  
1801  
1802  
1803  
1804  
1805  
1806  
1807  
1808  
1809  
1810  
1811  
1812  
1813  
1814  
1815  
1816  
1817  
1818  
1819  
1810  
1811  
1812  
1813  
1814  
1815  
1816  
1817  
1818  
1819  
1820  
1821  
1822  
1823  
1824  
1825  
1826  
1827  
1828  
1829  
1820  
1821  
1822  
1823  
1824  
1825  
1826  
1827  
1828  
1829  
1830  
1831  
1832  
1833  
1834  
1835  
1836  
1837  
1838  
1839  
1830  
1831  
1832  
1833  
1834  
1835  
1836  
1837  
1838  
1839  
1840  
1841  
1842  
1843  
1844  
1845  
1846  
1847  
1848  
1849  
1840  
1841  
1842  
1843  
1844  
1845  
1846  
1847  
1848  
1849  
1850  
1851  
1852  
1853  
1854  
1855  
1856  
1857  
1858  
1859  
1850  
1851  
1852  
1853  
1854  
1855  
1856  
1857  
1858  
1859  
1860  
1861  
1862  
1863  
1864  
1865  
1866  
1867  
1868  
1869  
1860  
1861  
1862  
1863  
1864  
1865  
1866  
1867  
1868  
1869  
1870  
1871  
1872  
1873  
1874  
1875  
1876  
1877  
1878  
1879  
1870  
1871  
1872  
1873  
1874  
1875  
1876  
1877  
1878  
1879  
1880  
1881  
1882  
1883  
1884  
1885  
1886  
1887  
1888  
1889  
1880  
1881  
1882  
1883  
1884  
1885  
1886  
1887  
1888  
1889  
1890  
1891  
1892  
1893  
1894  
1895  
1896  
1897  
1898  
1899  
1890  
1891  
1892  
1893  
1894  
1895  
1896  
1897  
1898  
1899  
1900  
1901  
1902  
1903  
1904  
1905  
1906  
1907  
1908  
1909  
1900  
1901  
1902  
1903  
1904  
1905  
1906  
1907  
1908  
1909  
1910  
1911  
1912  
1913  
1914  
1915  
1916  
1917  
1918  
1919  
1910  
1911  
1912  
1913  
1914  
1915  
1916  
1917  
1918  
1919  
1920  
1921  
1922  
1923  
1924  
1925  
1926  
1927  
1928  
1929  
1920  
1921  
1922  
1923  
1924  
1925  
1926  
1927  
1928  
1929  
1930  
1931  
1932  
1933  
1934  
1935  
1936  
1937  
1938  
1939  
1930  
1931  
1932  
1933  
1934  
1935  
1936  
1937  
1938  
1939  
1940  
1941  
1942  
1943  
1944  
1945  
1946  
1947  
1948  
1949  
1940  
1941  
1942  
1943  
1944  
1945  
1946  
1947  
1948  
1949  
1950  
1951  
1952  
1953  
1954  
1955  
1956  
1957  
1958  
1959  
1950  
1951  
1952  
1953  
1954  
1955  
1956  
1957  
1958  
1959  
1960  
1961  
1962  
1963  
1964  
1965  
1966  
1967  
1968  
1969  
1960  
1961  
1962  
1963  
1964  
1965  
1966  
1967  
1968  
1969  
1970  
1971  
1972  
1973  
1974  
1975  
1976  
1977  
1978  
1979  
1970  
1971  
1972  
1973  
1974  
1975  
1976  
1977  
1978  
1979  
1980  
1981  
1982  
1983  
1984  
1985  
1986  
1987  
1988  
1989  
1980  
1981  
1982  
1983  
1984  
1985  
1986  
1987  
1988  
1989  
1990  
1991  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1995  
1996  
1997  
1998  
1999  
1990  
1991  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1995  
1996  
1997  
1998  
1999  
2000  
2001  
2002  
2003  
2004  
2005  
2006  
2007  
2008  
2009  
2000  
2001  
2002  
2003  
2004  
2005  
2006  
2007  
2008  
2009  
2010  
2011  
2012  
2013  
2014  
2015  
2016  
2017  
2018  
2019  
2020  
2021  
2022  
2023  
2024  
2025  
2026  
2027  
2028  
2029  
2020  
2021  
2022  
2023  
2024  
2025  
2026  
2027  
2028  
2029  
2030  
2031  
2032  
2033  
2034  
2035  
2036  
2037  
2038  
2039  
2030  
2031  
2032  
2033  
2034  
2035  
2036  
2037  
2038  
2039  
2040  
2041  
2042  
2043  
2044  
2045  
2046  
2047  
2048  
2049  
2040  
2041  
2042  
2043  
2044  
2045  
2046  
2047  
2048  
2049  
2050  
2051  
2052  
2053  
2054  
2055  
2056  
2057  
2058  
2059  
2050  
2051  
2052  
2053  
2054  
2055  
2056  
2057  
2058  
2059  
2060  
2061  
2062  
2063  
2064  
2065  
2066  
2067  
2068  
2069  
2060  
2061  
2062  
2063  
2064  
2065  
2066  
2067  
2068  
2069  
2070  
2071  
2072  
2073  
2074  
2075  
2076  
2077  
2078  
2079  
2070  
2071  
2072  
2073  
2074  
2075  
2076  
2077  
2078  
2079  
2080  
2081  
2082  
2083  
2084  
2085  
2086  
2087  
2088  
2089  
2080  
2081  
2082  
2083  
2084  
2085  
2086  
2087  
2088  
2089  
2090  
2091  
2092  
2093  
2094  
2095  
2096  
2097  
2098  
2099  
2090  
2091  
2092  
2093  
2094  
2095  
2096  
2097  
2098  
2099  
2100  
2101  
2102  
2103  
2104  
2105  
2106  
2107  
2108  
2109  
2100  
2101  
2102  
2103  
2104  
2105  
2106  
2107  
2108  
2109  
2110  
2111  
2112  
2113  
2114  
2115  
2116  
2117  
2118  
2119  
2110  
2111  
2112  
2113  
2114  
2115  
2116  
2117  
2118  
2119  
2120  
2121  
2122  
2123  
2124  
2125  
2126  
2127  
2128  
2129  
2120  
2121  
2122  
2123  
2124  
2125  
2126  
2127  
2128  
2129  
2130  
2131  
2132  
2133  
2134  
2135  
2136  
2137  
2138  
2139  
2130  
2131  
2132  
2133  
2134  
2135  
2136  
2137  
2138  
2139  
2140  
2141  
2142  
2143  
2144  
2145  
2146  
2147  
2148  
2149  
2140  
2141  
2142  
2143  
2144  
2145  
2146  
2147  
2148  
2149  
2150  
2151  
2152  
2153  
2154  
2155  
2156  
2157  
2158  
2159  
2150  
2151  
2152  
2153  
2154  
2155  
2156  
2157  
2158  
2159  
2160  
2161  
2162  
2163  
2164  
2165  
2166  
2167  
2168  
2169  
2160  
2161  
2162  
2163  
2164  
2165  
2166  
2167  
2168  
2169  
2170  
2171  
2172  
2173  
2174  
2175  
2176  
2177  
2178  
2179  
2170  
2171  
2172  
2173  
2174  
2175  
2176  
2177  
2178  
2179  
2180  
2181  
2182  
2183  
2184  
2185  
2186  
2187  
2188  
2189  
2180  
2181  
2182  
2183  
2184  
2185  
2186  
2187  
2188  
2189  
2190  
2191  
2192  
2193  
2194  
2195  
2196  
2197  
2198  
2199  
2190  
2191  
2192  
2193  
2194  
2195  
2196  
2197  
2198  
2199  
2200  
2201  
2202  
2203  
2204  
2205  
2206  
2207  
2208  
2209  
2200  
2201  
2202  
2203  
2204  
2205  
2206  
2207  
2208  
2209  
2210  
2211  
2212  
2213  
2214  
2215  
2216  
2217  
2218  
2219  
2210  
2211  
2212  
2213  
2214  
2215  
2216  
2217  
2218  
2219  
2220  
2221  
2222  
2223  
2224  
2225  
2226  
2227  
2228  
2229  
2220  
2221  
2222  
2223  
2224  
2225  
2226  
2227  
2228  
2229  
2230  
2231  
2232  
2233  
2234  
2235  
2236  
2237  
2238  
2239  
2230  
2231  
2232  
2233  
2234  
2235  
2236  
2237  
2238  
2239  
2240  
2241  
2242  
2243  
2244  
2245  
2246  
2247  
2248  
2249  
2240  
2241  
2242  
2243  
2244  
2245  
2246  
2247  
2248  
2249  
2250  
2251  
2252  
2253  
2254  
2255  
2256  
2257  
2258  
2259  
2250  
2251  
2252  
2253  
2254  
2255  
2256  
2257  
2258  
2259  
2260  
2261  
2262  
2263  
2264  
2265  
2266  
2267  
2268  
2269  
2260  
2261  
2262  
2263  
2264  
2265  
2266  
2267  
2268  
2269  
2270  
2271  
2272  
2273  
2274  
2275  
2276  
2277  
2278  
2279  
2270  
2271  
2272  
2273  
2274  
2275  
2276  
2277  
2278  
2279  
2280  
2281  
2282  
2283  
2284  
2285  
2286  
2287  
2288  
2289  
2280  
2281  
2282  
2283  
2284  
2285  
2286  
2287  
2288  
2289  
2290  
2291  
2292  
2293  
2294  
2295

party. *Id.*; see also, e.g., *Brooks v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Florida*, 116 F.3d 1364, 1369 (11th Cir. 1997); *Quality Foods de Centro America, S.A. v. Latin American Agribusiness Dev. Corp., S.A.*, 711 F.2d 989, 994-95 (11th Cir. 1983). Further, “[a] complaint may not be dismissed because the plaintiff’s claims do not support the legal theory he relies upon since the court must determine if the allegations provide for relief on *any* possible theory.” *Brooks*, 116 F.3d at 1369 (emphasis in original) (citation omitted).

Thus, the threshold requirements for a complaint to survive a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss are “exceedingly low.” *Ancata v. Prison Health Services, Inc.*, 769 F.2d 700, 703 (11th Cir. 1985). Such motions accordingly are “viewed with disfavor and rarely granted.” *Brooks*, 116 F.3d at 1369 (citing *Madison v. Purdy*, 410 F.2d 99, 100 (5th Cir. 1969); *International Erectors, Inc. v. Wilhoit Steel Erectors & Rental Service*, 400 F.2d 465, 471 (5th Cir. 1968)).

## II. FACTS

Plaintiff, DirecTV, Inc., is a digital satellite entertainment service that delivers television programming to subscribers throughout the United States.<sup>1</sup> In order to receive and view plaintiff’s satellite signal, each subscriber must be equipped with digital system hardware, which consists of a satellite dish, an integrated

---

<sup>1</sup> The facts are derived from the Complaint (doc. no. 1) which, as discussed in Part I *supra*, are deemed as true for purposes of considering this motion to dismiss.

receiver/decoder (“IRD”), and an “access card” that is necessary to operate the IRD. Plaintiff encrypts its satellite transmissions and employs conditional access technology to prevent non-subscribers from viewing its television programming. The conditional access technology relies in part on “access cards” that are provided to consumers as components of the digital satellite system equipment. Upon activation by plaintiff, the access cards decrypt plaintiff’s programming and permit the consumer to access and view it. The software code contained in the “access cards” protects plaintiff’s programming against unauthorized access.

During calendar year 2001, plaintiff executed writs of seizure on a mail shipping facility used by several major sources of pirate technologies. As a result, plaintiff received business records evidencing defendant’s purchase of pirate access devices (“PADs”) to circumvent plaintiff’s encryption protection system and thereby allow non-DirecTV subscribers to view DirecTV programming. After receiving these business records, plaintiff sued various individuals, including the defendant in the present case, who allegedly purchased such PADs.

Plaintiff’s complaint presents four separate counts. Count One alleges that defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 605(a). Count Two alleges that defendant violated 18 U.S.C. § 2511(1). Count Three alleges that defendant violated 18 U.S.C. § 2512(1)(b). Count Four alleges conversion. Defendant filed a motion to dismiss the

entire complaint. The efficacy of each count to withstand defendant's motion is discussed below.

### III. ANALYSIS

#### A. Count One: Unauthorized Reception of Satellite Signals in Violation of 47 U.S.C. § 605(a)

47 U.S.C. § 605(a) of the Federal Communications Act of 1934 ("FCA"), provides, in pertinent part:

##### (a) Practices prohibited

Except as authorized by chapter 119, Title 18, no person *receiving, assisting in receiving, transmitting, or assisting in transmitting, any interstate or foreign communication by wire or radio shall divulge or publish the existence, contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning thereof, except through authorized channels of transmission or reception,* (1) to any person other than the addressee, his agent, or attorney, (2) to a person employed or authorized to forward such communication to its destination, (3) to proper accounting or distributing officers of the various communicating centers over which the communication may be passed, (4) to the master of a ship under whom he is serving, (5) in response to a subpoena issued by a court of competent jurisdiction, or (6) on demand of other lawful authority. *No person not being authorized by the sender shall intercept any radio communication and divulge or publish the existence, contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of such intercepted communication to any person. No person not being entitled thereto shall receive or assist in receiving any interstate or foreign communication by radio and use such communication (or any information therein contained) for his own benefit or for the benefit of another not entitled thereto. No person having received any intercepted radio communication or having become acquainted with the contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of such communication (or any*

part thereof) knowing that such communication was intercepted, shall divulge or publish the existence, contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of such communication (or any part thereof) or use such communication (or any information therein contained) for his own benefit or for the benefit of another not entitled thereto. This section shall not apply to the receiving, divulging, publishing, or utilizing the contents of any radio communication which is transmitted by any station for the use of the general public, which relates to ships, aircraft, vehicles, or persons in distress, or which is transmitted by an amateur radio station operator or by a citizens band radio operator.

47 U.S.C. § 605(a) (emphasis supplied).

Section 605(e)(3) further provides that: “Any person aggrieved by any violation of subsection (a) of this section or paragraph (4) of this subsection may bring a civil action in a United States district court or in any other court of competent jurisdiction.” 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(A).

Defendant argues that plaintiff has failed to plead a sufficient allegation proffering any reasonable inference that defendant *actually* received, assisted in receiving, or intercepted electronic transmissions without proper authorization.<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff alleges, however, that defendant “received and assisted others in receiving DIRECTV’s satellite transmissions of television programming without authorization . . .”<sup>3</sup> The court finds this allegation sufficient to state a civil claim under § 605(e)(3)(A) for a violation of § 605(a). Accordingly, the motion to dismiss Count

---

<sup>2</sup> See Brief in Support of Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss at 5 (doc. no. 16).

<sup>3</sup> Complaint, ¶ 29 (doc. no. 1).

One of the complaints is due to be denied.

**B. Count Two: Unauthorized Interception of Electronic Communications in Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2511(1)**

Defendant moves to dismiss Count Two, arguing that there is no private right of action pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2511(1)(a), which reads as follows:

(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter[,] any person who —

(a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures any other person to intercept or endeavor to intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication . . .

shall be punished as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject to suit as provided in subsection (5).

18 U.S.C. § 2511(1)(a). Subsection (5) of the same statute also provides that:

If the communication is —

(A) a private satellite video communication that is not scrambled or encrypted and the conduct in violation of this chapter is the private viewing of that communication and is not for a tortious or illegal purpose or for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private commercial gain; or

(B) a radio communication that is transmitted on frequencies allocated under subpart D of part 74 of the rules of the Federal Communications Commission that is not scrambled or encrypted and the conduct in violation of this chapter is not for a tortious or illegal purpose or for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private commercial gain,

then the person who engages in such conduct shall be subject to suit by

the Federal Government in a court of competent jurisdiction.

18 U.S.C. § 2511(5)(a)(i).

According to defendant, the only part of the Wiretap Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510 *et seq.*, that contains an express provision permitting a private cause of action for a violation of that Act is 18 U.S.C. § 2520, which provides:

(a) In general.— Except as provided in section 2511(2)(a)(ii), any person whose wire, oral, or electronic communication is intercepted, disclosed, or intentionally used in violation of this chapter may in a civil action recover from the person or entity, other than the United States, which engaged in that violation such relief as may be appropriate.

18 U.S.C. § 2520(a). Thus, § 2520 explicitly provides a private right of action for any individual whose electronic communication is intercepted in violation of the Wiretap Act. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 2520(a). Section 2511 specifically prohibits such interception of electronic communications. Plaintiff states a claim for violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2511.<sup>4</sup> Accordingly, defendant's motion to dismiss Count Two of the complaint is

---

<sup>4</sup> Defendant does not appear to challenge the notion that a private cause of action for a violation of § 2511 can exist via § 2520. Instead, defendant contends in a footnote of his brief that "nowhere in Count Two of Plaintiff's Complaint does Plaintiff allege a cause of action under the auspices of § 2520." Brief in Support of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss at 8 n.11 (doc. no. 16). The complaint alleges, however, that defendant's activities violated, *inter alia*, "the Electronic Communications Privacy Act [ ], 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521". Complaint at ¶ 25 (doc. no. 1). The prayer for relief also invokes § 2520. *Id.* at 14. As complaints should be liberally construed, and as defendant cites no authority for the proposition that § 2520 must be invoked in the exact same paragraphs alleging a violation of § 2511, the court finds the complaint sufficient to invoke § 2520. *See DIRECTV, Inc. v. Barnes*, \_\_\_\_ F. Supp. \_\_\_, 2004 WL 302341 (W.D. Mich. 2004) (finding DirecTV stated a claim under § 2511 by invoking § 2520 in the prayer for relief). Defendant's argument that the plain language of § 2511(5)(a)(1) only allows the Federal Government to bring a civil lawsuit, must fail, in that it was based on the erroneous assumption that plaintiff was not

due to be denied.

**C. Count Three: Possession of Pirate Access Devices in Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2512(1)(b)**

Whether plaintiff has a private cause of action under § 2512 is the closest question confronting the court in the motion to dismiss. Section 2512 provides, in pertinent part:

(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter, any person who intentionally —

...

(b) manufacturers, assembles, *possesses*, or sells any electronic, mechanical, or other device, *knowing or having reason to know* that the design of such device renders it *primarily useful* for the purpose of *surreptitious interception* of wire, oral, or electronic communications, and that such device or any component thereof has been or will be sent through the mail or transported in interstate or foreign commerce . . .

shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

18 U.S.C. § 2512(1)(b). Section 2512 criminalizes only the production, sale, or possession of devices whose primary purpose is to perform the interception.

Section 2512 is a criminal statute that, by itself, does not allow a private party to recover damages for behavior that violates its terms. Plaintiff, thus, relies upon 18 U.S.C. § 2520(a) to support a private cause of action. In particular, plaintiff argues

---

attempting to invoke § 2520 as the civil enforcement mechanism of § 2511.

that § 2520 provides a private cause of action for any “violation of this chapter” not specifically excluded. *See, e.g., Directv v. Legans*, 2004 WL 187323 (W.D. Tenn. 2004) (finding that a private cause of action under § 2512 exists because the only listed exemption from civil liability in § 2520(a) is for those assisting law enforcement pursuant to § 2511(2)(a)(ii)). The language of § 2520 undermines plaintiff’s argument, however. Once again, § 2520 provides in relevant part:

- (a) In general.—*Except as provided in section 2511(2)(a)(ii)* [pertaining to persons assisting law enforcement], any person whose wire, oral, or electronic communication is intercepted, disclosed, or intentionally used *in violation of this chapter* may in a civil action recover from the person or entity, other than the United States, which engaged in that violation such relief as may be appropriate.

18 U.S.C. § 2520(a) (emphasis added). By its express terms, § 2520 only applies when one’s wire, oral or electronic communication is “intercepted, disclosed, or intentionally used *in violation of this chapter.*” 18 U.S.C. § 2520 (emphasis added). Stated differently, the private right of action attaches *only after* interception, disclosure or use in violation of the Wiretap Act — acts not encompassed within § 2512. *See DirectTV, Inc. v. Bertram*, 296 F. Supp. 2d 1021, 1024 (D. Minn. 2003), *DirectTV, Inc. v. Beecher*, 296 F. Supp 2d 937, 942-43 (S.D. Ind. 2003).

Thus, no private right of action exists for an alleged violation of § 2512. This result is in accordance with the only circuit court of appeals to address the issue. *See*

*Flowers v. Tandy Corp.*, 773 F.2d 585 (4th Cir. 1985). In *Flowers*, the plaintiff brought an action against the defendant for selling a device used for intercepting wire communications. The plaintiff argued that defendant violated § 2512 and was civilly liable through § 2520. The Fourth Circuit rejected this argument, noting that the language in § 2520 “tracks very closely with criminal offenses set out in § 2511, whereas the criminal offense set out in § 2512 are defined in such terms as ‘manufacture,’ ‘assemble,’ ‘possess,’ and ‘sell.’” *Flowers*, 773 F.2d at 589.<sup>5</sup> According to the Fourth Circuit, the express language of § 2520 was not susceptible to a “construction which would provide a cause of action against one who manufactures or sells a device in violation of § 2512 but does not engage in conduct violative of § 2511.” *Id.*

The district courts are divided on this issue. Some agree that providers of satellite television transmissions can bring suit under § 2520 based on possession of

<sup>5</sup> At the time of the *Flowers* decision, § 2520 read as follows:

Any person whose wire or oral communication is intercepted, disclosed, or used in violation of this chapter shall [] have a civil cause of action against any person who intercepts, discloses, or uses or procures any other person to intercept, disclose, or use such communications . . .

18 U.S.C. § 2520, *amended by* Pub. L. 99-508, Title I, § 103 (1986). A comparison of the former and current version of § 2520 leads the court to conclude that *Flowers* remains good law, in that the 1986 amendment did not expand the conduct subjecting individuals to civil liability. The language of § 2520(a) still limits those against whom a civil action may be maintained to those that intercept, disclose, or use electronic communications in violation of the Wiretap Act.

unlawful devices in violation of § 2512(1)(b). *See, e.g., Directv, Inc. v. Drury*, 282 F. Supp. 2d 1321, 1323 (M.D. Fla. 2003); *DIRECTV, Inc. v. EQ Stuff, Inc.*, 207 F. Supp. 2d 1077, 1084 (C.D. Cal. 2002); *Oceanic Cablevision, Inc. v. M.D. Electronics*, 771 F. Supp. 1019, 1027 (D. Neb. 1991). Other courts conclude that § 2520 is limited to violations of § 2511(1)(a), relating to unlawful interceptions, and that it does not extend to claims of unlawful possession of devices covered by § 2512(1)(b). *See, e.g., DirectTV, Inc. v. Lorenzen*, \_\_\_\_ F. Supp. 2d \_\_\_\_, 2004 WL 286125 (N.D. Ohio 2004); *DirectTV, Inc. v. Bertram*, 296 F. Supp. 2d 1021, 1024 (D. Minn. 2003); *DirectTV, Inc. v. Beecher*, 296 F. Supp. 2d 937, 942-43 (S.D. Ind. 2003); *DIRECTV, Inc. v. Hosey*, 289 F. Supp. 2d 1259, 1263 (D. Kan. 2003); *DIRECTV, Inc. v. Cardona*, 275 F. Supp. 2d 1357, 1367 (M.D. Fla. 2003); *DIRECTV, Inc. v. Childers*, 274 F. Supp. 2d 1287, 1289 (M.D. Ala. 2003); *Directv, Inc. v. Amato*, 269 F. Supp. 2d 688, 691 (E.D. Va. 2003); *Ages Group, L.P. v. Raytheon Aircraft Co.*, 22 F. Supp. 2d 1310, 1315 (M.D. Ala. 1998). This court concurs with the latter group of district courts that have addressed the same issue. Accordingly, defendant's motion to dismiss Count Three of the complaint is due to be granted.

#### **D. Count Four: Conversion**

Plaintiff's conversion claim is governed by Alabama law. To establish a conversion claim in Alabama "a plaintiff must show a wrongful taking, an illegal

assumption of ownership, an illegal use or misuse of another's property, or a wrongful detention or interference with another's property." *Birmingham-Jefferson County Transit Auth. v. Arvan*, 669 So. 2d 825, 828 (Ala. 1995). The Alabama Supreme Court has held that intangible property may be the subject of a conversion action. *National Surety Corp. v. Applied Systems, Inc.*, 418 So. 2d 847, 850 (Ala. 1982). Plaintiff argues that defendant's wrongful interception of its satellite transmissions constitutes conversion. Defendant argues (1) that the satellite transmissions are not the personal property of plaintiff and therefore are not subject to conversion, and (2) that the conversion claim is preempted by the Copyright Act.

With regard to the first argument, defendant asserts that plaintiff's satellite transmissions are analogous to radio frequency transmissions, which belong to the public and are not personal property. Defendant cites *McIntire v. Wm. Penn Broadcasting Co.*, 151 F.2d 597 (3d Cir. 1945), in support of his argument that radio transmissions belong to the public and are not personal property.<sup>6</sup> The *McIntire* court stated that "a radio broadcasting station must operate in the public interest and must

---

<sup>6</sup> Defendant also cites *WOKO, Inc. v. Federal Communications Commission*, 109 F.2d 665 (D.C. Cir. 1939). *WOKO* involves an appeal from a Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") decision. The appeal was taken pursuant to § 402(b)(2) of the Federal Communications Act. 47 U.S.C. § 402(b)(2). *Id.* at 666. The court had to determine what allegations are necessary to support an appeal within the terms of § 402(b)(2). *Id.* The court stated that an appeal must allege that the FCC decision was contrary to public interest, convenience, or necessity. *Id.* at 668. This holding has no relevance to the instant case.

be deemed to be a ‘trustee’ for the public.” *Id.* at 599. *McIntire* does not speak to the issue of whether radio transmissions may be considered personal property for the purposes of a conversion action.<sup>7</sup>

In this court’s view, plaintiff’s satellite transmissions do not belong to the public. Plaintiff had a proprietary interest in the transmissions defendant allegedly intercepted. *See Quincy Cablesystems, Inc., v. Sully’s Bar, Inc.*, 650 F. Supp. 838, 848 (D. Mass. 1986). Plaintiff had a right to “possession” of the satellite signals and to transmit them only to paying customers. Assuming the allegations in the complaint are true, defendant interfered with these rights. Therefore, based on Alabama Supreme Court precedent finding that claims for conversion may be based on intangible property, the court finds that plaintiff has sufficiently alleged a conversion claim under Alabama law to withstand a Rule 12(b)(6) attack. *See, e.g., National Surety Corp.*, 418 So. 2d at 850; *see also Quincy*, 650 F. Supp at 848 (holding that Massachusetts law permits recovery for conversion of satellite signals); *Don King Prod./Kingvision v. Lovato*, 911 F. Supp. 419, 423 (N.D. Cal. 1995) (holding that California law permits recovery for conversion of satellite signals).

Defendant also argues that the conversion claim is preempted by the Copyright

---

<sup>7</sup> Even if *McIntire* did address the issue, defendant provides no support for his assertion that radio transmissions are analogous to plaintiff’s satellite transmissions. Indeed, the two clearly are different. Radio transmissions are for the public’s general consumption, while plaintiff’s satellite transmissions are not.

Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.* Section 301 of the Copyright Act sets out a two-part test for determining federal preemption of state law. *See* 17 U.S.C. § 301(a); *Crow v. Wainwright*, 720 F.2d 1224, 1225-26 (11th Cir. 1983). First, the state law must create rights that fall within the subject matter of copyright as defined by §§ 102 and 103 of the Copyright Act. *Crow*, 720 F.2d at 1225-26; *see* 17 U.S.C. § 301(a). Second, the state law must create rights that “are ‘equivalent to’ the exclusive rights of section 106 [of the Copyright Act].” *Crow*, 720 F.2d at 1226; *see* 17 U.S.C. § 301(a). The result of the second part of the test is “that the Act ‘preempts only those state law rights that may be abridged by an act which, in and of itself, would infringe one of the exclusive rights provided by federal copyright law.’” *Foley v. Luster*, 249 F.3d 1281, 1285 (11th Cir. 2001) (quoting *Computer Assoc. Int'l, Inc. v. Atlai, Inc.*, 982 F.2d 693, 716 (2d Cir. 1992)). The rights set forth in § 106 are the rights of reproduction, performance, distribution, and display. 17 U.S.C. § 106.

Plaintiff only challenges the applicability of the latter part of the two-part test. Plaintiff argues that its conversion action is based only on interception of the satellite signal, which is qualitatively different than any of the rights set forth in § 106. The court finds that interception of the satellite transmissions, in and of itself, does not infringe on the rights of reproduction, performance, distribution, or display set forth in § 106. *See* 17 U.S.C. § 106. Therefore, the conversion claim is not preempted.

Defendant's motion to dismiss Count Four of the complaint is due to be denied.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, defendant's motion to dismiss will be denied with respect to Counts One, Two, and Four of the complaint, but granted as to the claim asserted in Count Three. An order consistent with this memorandum opinion will be entered contemporaneously herewith.

DONE this 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2004.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
United States District Judge